

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/777,488	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MJALLI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1626	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(2) T. Benjamin Schroeder {Reg. No. 50,990}.

**Status of Application:** 80

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 April 2008

**Time:** 1:35pm

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  
  

Claims discussed:

1-4, 7-12, 16-25, 32, 33, 38 and 40

  

Prior art documents discussed:

  
  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner called Applicant's representative for permission to: (1) cancel "or solvate" from the claims because a question of enablement was raised under 35 USC 112, first paragraph; and (2) cancel pharmaceutical composition claims 32, 33, 38 and 40 because "treat" embraces curing and prevention per the instant specification on page 323, starting at line 20 through to page 324. However, no agreement was reached. Applicant's representative was informed that the after-final Amendment filed April 17, 2008 would be entered and that prosecution would be re-opened and appropriate rejections made. Applicant's representative requested a couple of days to file a secondary response since the Amendment filed April 17, 2008 was indicated as a response under 37 CFR 1.111 instead of 37 CFR 1.116. The Examiner indicated that she could only wait to the end of the week since after-final amendments have to be resolved within 10 days of forwarding to the Examiner.*

*On Thursday, May 1, 2008, Applicant filed a supplemental response and requested that the May 1<sup>st</sup> amendment be entered and not the April 17<sup>th</sup> amendment.*

  

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  

/Laura L. Stockton/  
Primary Examiner

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

